

# United States District Court

EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SHERMAN DIVISION

JOHN S. VANDERBOL III and ERICA  
QUINN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE FARM MUTUAL  
AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE  
COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

ACTION NO. 4:19-CV-119

JUDGE MAZZANT/JUDGE JOHNSON

(CONSOLIDATED 4:19-CV-120)

## **MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Came on for consideration the report of the United States Magistrate Judge in this action, this matter having been heretofore referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On June 24, 2019, the Magistrate Judge entered proposed findings of fact and recommendations (Dkt. #36) that *pro se* Plaintiffs John S. Vanderbol III and Erica Quinn (together, “Plaintiffs”) Motions for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 11b of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the “Motions for Sanctions”) (4:19-cv-119, Dkt. 26; 4:19-cv-120, Dkt. 27) be denied.

Having received the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge, and no timely objections being filed, the Court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and adopts the Magistrate Judge’s report as the findings and conclusions of the Court. Accordingly, Plaintiffs’ Motions for Sanctions (4:19-cv-119, Dkt. 26; 4:19-cv-120, Dkt. 27) are hereby **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 16th day of July, 2019.**



AMOS L. MAZZANT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE